Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	narv	10/769,109	KRAMER, JOACHIM ERNST
Examiner-initiated interview outliniary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ashwin Mehta	1638
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Allo</u>	wed
(1) <u>Ashwin Mehta</u> .		(3)	
(2) <u>Lila Akrad</u> .		(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 April 2006	•	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: None			
Claims discussed: None			
Prior art documents discussed: None			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner's amendment to correct the deposit statement in the specification so that it included the inbred parent plants of hybrid maize plant 39F59 was discussed and approved.			
Part III.		·	
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 			
		·	
Do			
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)